

~~Chapter Eight:~~ DEMOGRAPHICS

~~I.~~ Trends

~~H.~~ Projections

~~I.~~ Trends

~~Utilizing statistics from the United States Census and the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission, a~~ This demographic profile of Dublin ~~was developed to highlight~~ highlights general characteristics and trends of the local population, including household size ~~and~~, educational attainment. ~~This chapter reviews~~, employment characteristics, commuting trends and general housing types. In addition to these basic indicators of current conditions, projections of population, households and employment are discussed. Throughout this section, 2010 Census data are used where available as analyzed by the City of Dublin and the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission.

~~Throughout this section, estimates for 2007 are utilized where available as developed by Dublin Land Use and Long Range Planning and the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission.~~

Historical Growth Patterns

Dublin has been one of the fastest growing communities in Central Ohio since the 1980s. Its strategic location on I-270, its national reputation for quality residential and employment growth and excellent school systems have all facilitated its rapid expansion. With a growth rate of more than 300 percent from 1980 to 1990 ~~and~~, 92 percent from 1990 to 2000, and 25 percent from 2000 to 2010, corresponding increases in housing demand and support services have occurred.

Education Attainment

Dublin's population is highly educated, and ~~more than 45~~ nearly 65 percent hold employment in management, business and financial operations. Dublin's proportion of school-age children and persons between ages 35 and 49 ~~is~~ remains comparable to ~~other many~~ suburban communities in the area, ~~but is larger than Columbus and Worthington.~~ although New Albany has clearly become the regional destination for young families, while Dublin's retirement age population is growing by comparison.

Build-Out Projections

At full build-out, Dublin is projected to have a population of approximately ~~57~~ 65,000 ~~based on, not including potential expansion areas to~~ the established planning boundary as indicated on Map 0.2: northwest. The ~~City~~ city is also expected to have over ~~22~~ 30,000 dwelling units and will be home to ~~more than 95~~ approximately 90,000 jobs. Dublin's existing demographic characteristics combined with projected trends result in a high demand for a variety of housing types and services such as schools, recreation, and general municipal facilities. Dublin residents expect a high level of service with a corresponding quality of life. These factors have attracted many residents and businesses to Dublin and will influence choices about moving to or remaining within Dublin in the future.

Regional Demographic Trends

Demographics ~~regarding~~for other comparable entities such as the City of Hilliard, the City of Worthington, the Village of New Albany and the Columbus Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) as shown in ~~Table 8.1~~the population growth table below provide a context for interpreting growth trends in Dublin. Hilliard and Worthington are Dublin's closest suburban neighbors along the I-270 ~~outer belt~~outerbelt. Hilliard, to the south of Dublin, is a fast-growing municipality facing development pressures with substantial areas for expansion. Worthington, to the east of Dublin, is an example of an established, totally developed community. The Village of New Albany is a master planned residential community and employment center located 21 miles to the east of Dublin, and is an example of a rapidly growing, high quality community with development characteristics similar to Dublin. The Columbus Metropolitan Statistical Area is used to show regional comparisons. Additionally, Delaware County, in which a portion of Dublin is located, has experienced substantial growth over the past decade.

B. Population and Households

Dublin's rapid growth has occurred primarily over the last ~~35~~40 years due to the availability of public water and sanitary services made possible in the early 1970s with the development of Muirfield Village. At that time, the Village of Dublin ~~included~~comprised a population of less than 700 residents, and its subsequent growth is charted ~~in Table 8.1~~below from 1980 to 2010. In only a 10-year period, the population increased five-fold to over 3,800 people; ~~but~~ by the 1990 Census, Dublin's population rose by 350 percent to a total of 16,366, allowing Dublin to incorporate as a city after surpassing the minimum required 5,000 residents. Dublin's rapid expansion continued through the 1990s, expanding through the 2000 Census to 31,392 residents, and to 39,245 residents in the 2010 Census. By 2012, the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission estimated Dublin's population at 42,038.

On average, Dublin has grown by ~~approximately 800~~over 1,000 persons per year over the last ~~25~~30 years. Between 2000 and 2010, Dublin's population grew by 25 percent. In comparison, ~~between 1990 and 2000,~~ Hilliard grew by ~~105~~14 percent, New Albany expanded by ~~129~~91 percent, and the Columbus MSA increased ~~slightly~~ by ~~14~~20 percent. In contrast, Worthington's population decreased slightly during this period.

~~Estimates of population change between 2000 and 2005 indicate that growth in Dublin and the region is slowing, and a variety of estimates are available for population. The recent U.S. Census derived a 2005 population estimate of 34,964 for Dublin. The Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission created a 2006 estimate for Dublin of 38,909, and a 2007 estimate of 40,163. —~~

The rate of household growth in Dublin slowed from 12 times the regional average in 1990, to five times the regional average in 2000, to a little over twice the regional average in 2010. In 1990, Dublin had 5,533 households. This increased by 102 percent in 2000, to 11,165 households, and by 34 percent in 2010, to 14,984 households. By 2012, Dublin was home to an estimated 15,085 households.

The size of the Dublin median household, in contrast, changed from 2.96 persons per household in 1990 to 2.81 in 2000 (a five percent decrease). The total decline in household size from 1980 to 2000 ~~equalled~~equaled 11 percent. ~~All four communities evaluated show declining~~ From 2000 to 2010, average household size, consistent with the current national trend. However, both ~~decreased an additional 2 percent, reaching 2.74 persons per household.~~ Dublin and Hilliard and New Albany each have larger households than the Columbus MSA. However, relative changes in household size have varied significantly since the year 2000. Dublin is the only community of the four evaluated to have a decrease. Hilliard showed no change, and Worthington had a slight increase in household size. By contrast, New Albany's household size increased dramatically during this period, by 11 percent over year 2000 levels.

C. Education Attainment

The ~~2000~~2010 Census indicates that ~~97~~98.3 percent of Dublin residents aged 25 years and older are high school graduates, a ~~slight decrease~~1 percent increase from ~~1990~~2000. This level of educational attainment is somewhat higher than the rates ~~for of each of~~ the comparison ~~communities. However, the rates for these other communities have increased since 1990.~~ Table 8.4 community except for New Albany. The table below shows the percentage of high school graduates in 1990, 2000, and 2000. Table 8.4 also shows 2010, as well as rates of college graduation. Dublin and had a 70.8 percent graduation rate in 2010, approximately 6 percent above Worthington have comparable rates of college graduation, with and approximately 7 percent below New Albany close behind. The types of jobs held by most Dublin residents are indicative of the need for a college education.

D. Jobs and Employment

~~Table 8.5 compares unemployment~~Unemployment rates for 1990, 2000 and ~~2000~~2010 are shown in the table below. Low unemployment rates are indicated for all four comparative areas, with the lowest unemployment experienced in New Albany and ~~Hilliard~~Dublin.

The majority of Dublin's working population consists of managers and professionals. In ~~2000, almost 60~~2010, nearly 64 percent held managerial, professional, and related jobs, an increase of four percent from ~~1990~~2000. Dublin was only exceeded ~~by New Albany~~ in this category, by New Albany (which has a similar workforce profile), with 64 approximately 70 percent of its workforce in managerial and professional positions. Computer, sales and administrative support occupations accounted for an additional ~~28~~24 percent of Dublin's workforce. ~~The Village; this was a decrease from 2000, due in part to an increase in the number of New Albany has a similar workforce profile. See Figure 8.2: construction, production and transportation-related jobs.~~

E. Commuting Patterns

Dublin has a highly active and mobile suburban population. Serving as a major employer to the Central Ohio region, the ~~City's~~city's daily population increases substantially as workers travel to Dublin's major

employment locations. Estimates place the daytime population of Dublin at approximately 65,000 people, indicating a significant need for transportation infrastructure and daily services. Likewise, Dublin is home to a significant population of highly educated professionals who travel to other employment centers throughout the region such as downtown Columbus. Traveling to and from work, as well as a variety of other activities, the typical Dublin family is estimated by some accounts to make a total of 13 daily trips per household, compared to 11 for other comparable suburbs.

~~As indicated in Table 8.6, more~~ The region is highly dependent upon the automobile. More than 89 percent of Dublin's residents drive to work alone. This rate is ~~slightly higher, but~~ similar to single commuter rates in other comparable suburbs and relatively higher than the overall rate of 82 percent for the Columbus MSA. ~~The region is highly dependent upon the automobile.~~ While ~~2.3~~ 1.6 percent of working residents within the MSA ~~used~~ use public transit, Dublin's percentage of transit use is less than one percent. Given the ~~City's lower~~ city's predominately low density development patterns, transit options are currently limited to routes connecting Dublin's largest employers. As additional jobs are created within the ~~City~~ city, and development densities start to increase in strategic areas such as the Bridge Street District, the need to more effectively provide transportation alternatives for Dublin's major employment corridors ~~may~~ will become increasingly important while also more viable due to higher potential ridership rates.

As an automobile commuting region, travel times throughout Central Ohio generally range between ~~20~~ 21 and ~~26~~ 23 minutes ~~as shown in Table 8.6.~~ Commuting times for Dublin residents range from under five minutes to over 90 minutes, with an average travel time of 24 ~~23~~ minutes. With an estimated ~~15,802~~ 17,599 employed Dublin residents age 16 years and over in ~~2000~~ 2010, approximately 74 ~~83~~ percent work outside of the ~~City. A majority (47~~ city. Approximately 41 percent) are employed in Columbus, while ~~18~~ 55 percent work elsewhere within the Columbus MSA. ~~Approximately nine~~ Less than three percent commute outside the region. ~~Likewise, of~~ Of the total number of jobs within the ~~City of Dublin~~ city, less than ten percent are actually filled by Dublin residents. These figures further highlight the substantial level of commuting into and out of the ~~City~~ city and the regional relationships between housing and employment.

F. Age

The age composition of a community provides a basis for evaluating current and future service needs. As shown ~~in Figure 8.3~~ below, a majority of Dublin's population is concentrated in both school-age children and adults between 34 ~~40~~ and 49 ~~54~~. This reflects the predominantly single-family character of Dublin today ~~that is~~ but also a shift toward a growing middle-aged population, coupled with a much lower component of college-age residents. Between 2000 and 2010, young age groups (0-14) decreased as a percentage of the city's total population. At the same time, there were substantial decreases in adult age groups between 30 and 49, with even larger increases in the population aged 50 and older.

The ~~higher~~ proportion of families with children ~~increases the~~ is still high for Dublin, with corresponding demand for schools, recreation facilities and other services such as childcare today. Given the significant component of adults age 35 ~~40~~ to 49 ~~54~~, however, Dublin's population will continue to age similarly to regional and national trends. As the Dublin population ages, there will be an increased shift in need for

senior recreation activities, -medical facilities and alternative housing. [These trends have significant implications for Dublin's existing single family housing stock, as described below.](#)

G. Housing Units

~~As shown in Table 8.7, Dublin had nearly 12,038~~[15,779](#) housing units in ~~2000~~[2010](#), and over ~~71~~[79](#) percent of those were owner-occupied. ~~Local calculations have found this number as high as 78 percent, and the national average is 68.9 percent for owner occupancy. The estimated number of housing units within Dublin as of December 2006 was 14,636. This represents a 21 percent increase over the six-year period. In addition, Dublin's renter-occupied units increased by 1,533 between 1990 and 2000, a 144 percent increase totaling 3,122.~~ In comparison, Hilliard and the Columbus MSA have higher rates of renter-occupied housing, and lower rates of owner-occupied housing, while Worthington and New Albany are more reflective of Dublin's ownership patterns. ~~Table 8.7 shows the number and occupancy status of housing units in 2000.~~

~~H. These ownership patterns correspond closely with the types of housing available in the city. Sixty-eight percent of Dublin's total housing units are single family detached homes, with an additional 15 percent comprised of single family attached housing products. The remaining 18 percent is comprised of a small number of two-family homes (less than 1 percent) and multiple family units. As Dublin's population continues to age, the demand for attached and multiple family product types is likely to increase. At the same time, large portions of Dublin's vast single family housing stock are approaching forty years of age and will require additional maintenance and investments to remain competitive in the housing market. Efforts to attract and retain a younger workforce will also generate demand for alternative housing types, but will also provide an opportunity to build future demand for the city's traditional housing stock as those workers start families and seek more space. It will be important for the City to continually monitor the status of its older neighborhoods and homes to ensure their continued success. See Land Use Objective 6 for more information.~~

Projections

Projections of housing, employment and population growth are based upon a comparison of existing residential and nonresidential development relative to the future land use expectations and established densities of the Land Use Plan. Inventories and estimates of existing land uses and densities ~~were utilized~~ [are used](#) as base values for projecting development to a ~~horizon year of 2030.~~ ['build-out' condition.](#) Information used to analyze population include the ~~2000~~[2010](#) U.S. Census, MORPC population estimates, City of Dublin building permit information and ~~2007 GIS~~ data from the Auditors of Franklin, Delaware, and Union Counties. Build-out projections ~~were~~[are](#) conducted by assuming residential and non-residential densities for each land use classification shown on the Future Land Use Map (~~page 65~~) and as indicated in the [associated](#) land use definitions ~~on pages 66-69.~~ Average household sizes for owner-occupied and rental units ~~as indicated by the 2000 Census~~ are projected to decrease slightly in the future;

projections of average household size by housing unit type for the year 2030 were used for this analysis¹ equating to 3.16 persons per single-family detached unit, 1.59 persons per single-family attached unit and 1.68 persons per ~~multi-multiple~~ family unit. Average growth rates for the residential population are expected to decrease annually as the ~~Citycity~~ approaches build-out. Employment estimates include assumptions of typical ratios of commercial space to employees for retail, office and industrial uses, which equal 380, 330 and 780 square feet per employee², respectively. These typical assumptions are also adjusted where appropriate, to incorporate more detailed information about planned development characteristics in specific portions of the planning area.

Given available base information and applicable assumptions, at full development the ~~City's~~ entire planning area ~~willis projected to~~ include a total population of approximately ~~5874,000~~ with ~~24,579-nearly 34,000~~ residential units. This includes all of Dublin's exclusive and negotiated service areas, ~~much of which may not annex into the city. The build-out population within Dublin's existing corporate limits is projected to reach approximately 65,000.~~ Based upon expected land uses, the ~~Citycity~~ will also have at least ~~8283,000~~ jobs, ~~which is a 57 percent increase over 2005~~ doubling current daytime employment levels. At a minimum, if Dublin expands to encompass the ~~City'scity's~~ exclusive service area, an additional ~~661725~~ housing units, ~~1,070350~~ residents and ~~an-nearly 6,000 jobs are~~ estimated ~~16,200 jobs will to~~ be generated. ~~(excluding existing development expected to remain).~~ These projected levels of development are shown in ~~Table 8.8~~ the table below for three areas: -(1) the current Dublin corporate limits, (2) Dublin plus its exclusive service area and (3) the ~~total Dublin planning area including the exclusive and negotiated service areas.~~ ~~Map 9.1 on page 299 illustrates these areas.~~

¹1. Average household size by housing type for the year 2030 provided by McBride Dale Clarion, 2005.

²2. Average space per employee as industry typicals provided by McBride Dale Clarion, 2007.